

Some specification of learning activities in the ICT sector – special focus on ICT clusters

ASEM WPL workshop
Innsbruck
14 July 2011

Gábor Erdei
University of Debrecen

Structure of the presentation

- The Hungarian ICT sector
- The procedure of the research and the analyzed companies
- Findings of the research

Hungarian ICT sector I.

- Difficulties to make research in the sector: multiplier effect to the other sectors as well
- TEÁOR '08 (NACE Rev.) see excel

Hungarian ICT sector II.

- Two features can be described from the statistics :
 - The production part is well performed in the last 5 years > the Hungarian ICT sector belongs to the EU leaders (mostly because of EU/USA sister companies)
 - However the R&D&I field of the sector far behind Europe and the world leaders

Hungarian ICT sector III.

- According to the interviews, those parts of the ICT sector are successful which require less resources:
 - Because Hungarian ICT companies are not able to invest in infrastructure, high-technology and also not able to invest huge capital for ambiguous innovation projects

Hungarian ICT sector IV.

- Most of the companies have the „follower” (lag behind) attitude also on the field of R&D&I
- Modest production development and instead of discovering new field > avoiding to take risks

Hungarian ICT sector V.

- The Hungarian ICT sector can be split into three main groups (like the entire Hungarian business sector), these groups differ from each other in the R&D&I activities as well:
- a) sister companies of multinational companies
- b) Hungarian-owned large companies
- c) Hungarian-owned SMEs (but most of them are micro) companies

Human resources

- The ideal human resource not only well-qualified but also flexible and open to learn, creative, cooperate
- The structure of enterprises almost melting, the hierarchy and structure positions have very vague and weak boundaries both vertically and horizontally
- Main aim: to be flexible, to be efficient, to solve problems
- The structure must reflect on the actual situation
- ICT workplaces are amongst others the most flexible workplaces in the world recently

Positive effects of clusterilazation

- Synergy
- Learning activties
- Mutual developing activties both professionalzation and businesswise
- Horisontal relationship among the members
- Democratic cooperation

Some negative aspects

- Universities, research institutes and multinational companies are the engines of clusters, joining the domestic SMEs shows very varied results
- Frustration of the SMEs: the „speed” of large and multinational companies is very different
- The leaders of clusters are not really relying on the knowledge of the SMEs > frustration for SMEs

Research

- Interviews: October 2010 – March 2011
- 20 interviewees (20 organizations)
- Interviewees: top managers or responsible persons from the management

Theoretical framework

- Workplace learning
- Networks learning
- Organizational learning

Clusters

- Cluster policy has been strengthened in the last 3-4 years, 6 accredited iCT clusters amongst the 25 clusters
- Development phase of cluster:
 - strater (40-160 thousand euros for management, and service)
 - developer (40 - 600 thousand euros for management common investment and service)
 - accredited (100 – 600 thousand euros for R&D, infractructure)
 - „Pole innovation” (600 – 1800 thousand euros for R&D, infractructure, common service)

The researched ICT clusters

1. North Hungarian Informatics Cluster
(www.infoklaszter.hu)
est. in 2007, 40 members
2. North Great Plain Informatics Cluster
(www.itclsuter.hu)
est. in 2007, 28 members
3. Silicon Field Informatics Cluster
est. in 2008, 33 members

Interviews

- Groups of questions (5 groups 21 questions):
 - Short description of the field of activities, and the structure of the organisations
 - Identifying factors, which influence learning in general
 - Learning activities related to the cluster
 - Learning process and forms related to the cluster
 - Difference between learning activities related to the cluster members and the other partners and clients

Companies

- Silicon Field Informatics Cluster (33 members), 20 were interviewed:
 - 10 micro
 - 3 small
 - 2 medium
 - 1 large

Transformation of learning activities during the cluster development phases I.

1. Starter phase:

- open attitude, learning and knowing each other but mainly on the surface:
 - professional activities
 - organizational structure
 - personnel
 - competencies
 - networks, clients etc.
- getting touch mainly on the horizontal levels between the members: learning starts

Transformation of learning activities during the cluster development phases II.

2. Developer phase (TRUST phase):

- more information and knowledge about the members/partners and more importantly about the employees
- the knowledge and expertise of the members are more crystallized
- the learning activities and forms (between the employees of the member companies) which has shaped in the starter phase will be clarified and stabilize

Transformation of learning activities during the cluster development phases III.

- The implementation of the goals of the cluster starting:
 - role of the cluster members become more clear
 - members identify their role and could make their own SWOT in the cluster

Transformation of learning activities during the cluster development phases III.

3. Accreditation phase:

- restructuring the cluster > the different roles (according to expertise, business activities etc.) will be clear
- Three activities (and learning) circles in the cluster:
 - 1. circle: daily base connection in order to implement the goals: learning activities become regular (members are the flagships of the cluster); the interest of the companies can be fulfilled
 - The learning activities become more focused

Transformation of learning activities during the cluster development phases IV.

- real partnership, what the partners would keep even the cluster would end its activities
- 2. circle: companies out of the center of the cluster
- less or very less activities (even the activities focusing on only the formalized way)
- however they still can see their interest (and hope to implement it) to stay in the cluster
- keeping them in the cluster is also because „club-feeling“, especially when there are „big names in the cluster“ IBM, DELL etc.
- 3. circle: out of the cluster activities, but not formally

Transformation of learning activities during the cluster development phases V.

- 4. Pole phase: ???????